## REMARKS

1 ... 1

Claims 7-19 have been rejected on the basis of double patenting in that the same invention was claimed in co-pending application no. 10/691,922. The double patenting rejection is provisional in that the subject claims have not, in fact, been patented.

Claims 7-19 have been stated as distinguishing over the art.

The relevant claims in co-pending application no. 10/691,922 have been canceled. Accordingly, it is believed that the basis for the double patenting rejection is no longer present and that the this application claims remaining in are patentable. Reconsideration of the application and the issuance of the Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Please charge any deficiencies or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 14-0620.

Respectfully submitted,

Percy Greenberg

By his attorney

Nav. 17, 2005

Wayne A. Sivertson

Reg. No. 25,645

NAWROCKI, ROONEY & SIVERTSON, P.A.

Suite 401, Broadway Place East

3433 Broadway St. N.E.

Minneapolis, MN 55413

(612) 331-1464

Customer No. 05909